UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

CASE NO.: 05 CV 7328

ISRAEL	COLI	ADO
DIVILL	COLL	MDO.

Plaintiff,

Defendant.

v.

DOMINION PROPERTY GROUP LLC, a New York corporation,

COMPLAINT

- 1. Plaintiff ISRAEL COLLADO (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), was an employee of DOMINION PROPERTY GROUP LLC (referred to as "Defendant"), and brings this action for overtime compensation, minimum wages and other relief under both the Fair Labor Standards Act, as amended, 29 U.S.C. §216(b), and New York Labor Law. Plaintiff performed janitorial and maintenance work at buildings owned by Defendant in New York, New York.
- 2. Defendant corporation is a New York corporation that operates and conducts business in New York, New York, and is within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 3. This action is brought to recover from Defendant overtime compensation, minimum wages, liquidated damages, and the costs and reasonable attorney's fees under the provisions of Title 29 U.S.C. §216(b)(the Act).

4. Plaintiff alleges that under the New York Labor Law, Art 6, §§ 190 et seq., and Art. 19, §§ 650 et seq. (collectively "NYLL"), he is entitled to unpaid overtime wages from Defendant for his work beyond 40 hours per week; unpaid spread of hours payments from Defendant for each day he worked more than 10 hours; liquidated damages equal to 25 percent of his unpaid minimum and overtime wages; and attorney's fees and costs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Jurisdiction is conferred on this Court by Title 28 U.S.C. §1337 and by Title 29 U.S.C. §216(b). The Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367. At all material times relevant to this action, Defendant corporation was an enterprise covered by the FLSA as defined by 29 U.S.C. §§ 203(r) and 203(s).
- 6. Venue is proper in the Southern District of New York Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).
- 7. At all times pertinent to this Complaint, Defendant failed to comply with Title 29 U.S.C. §§201-209, in that Plaintiff performed services for Defendant for which no provisions were made by the Defendant to properly pay Plaintiff for those hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week during one or more workweeks.
- 8. In the course of employment with Defendant, Plaintiff was not paid time and one-half of his regular rate of pay for all hours worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek during one or more workweeks.

9. The records, if any, concerning the number of hours actually worked by Plaintiff and the compensation actually paid to Plaintiff are in the possession and custody of Defendant.

COUNT I RECOVERY OF OVERTIME COMPENSATION UNDER FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 10. Plaintiff readopts and realleges all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 9 above.
- 11. Plaintiff is entitled to be paid time and one-half of his regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty (40) hours per workweek. Plaintiff regularly worked overtime hours.
- 12. By reason of the said intentional, willful and unlawful acts of Defendant, Plaintiff has suffered damages plus incurred costs and reasonable attorney's fees.
- 13. As a result of Defendant's willful violation of the Act, Plaintiff is entitled to liquidated damages.

COUNT II RECOVER OF MINIMUM WAGES UNDER FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT

- 14. Plaintiff readopts and realleges all allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 13.
- 15. Plaintiff is entitled to be paid at the current minimum wage rate of pay for each hour he worked during his employ.
- 16. Defendant willfully failed to pay Plaintiff at the current minimum wage, contrary to the requirements of Section 6 of FLSA (29 U.S.C. §206).

COUNT III NY MINIMUM WAGE/OVERTIME COMPENSATION

- 17. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 16 above.
- 18. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was an employee of Defendants, and Defendants were Plaintiff's employers, as defined by N.Y. Labor Law §§ 2(5), 2(6), 651(5) and 651(6).
- 19. At all times relevant to this action, the state minimum wage was either 5.15 per hour, or \$6.00 per hour as of January 1, 2005, as codified by N.Y. Labor Law § 652(1).
 - 20. Defendant deliberately did not pay Plaintiff for any hours he worked.
- 21. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay Plaintiff the minimum wage for the first forty hours of work performed in each week, in violation of N.Y. Labor Law § 592(1).
- 22. Defendant willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay Plaintiff her regular rate of pay for the first forty hours of work performed in each week, in violation of N.Y. Labor Law §§ 191(1)(a) and (3).
- 23. Defendant willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay Plaintiff overtime compensation at a rate not less than one and one-half times the regular rate of pay for each hour worked in excess of forty hours in a workweek, in violation of the New York Minimum Wage Act and its implementing regulations. N.Y. Labor Law §§ 650 et seq.; 12 NYCRR § 142-2.2.
- 24. Defendant willfully violated Plaintiff's rights by failing to pay Plaintiff an additional hour of pay at minimum wage for each day he worked more than ten (10)

hours, in violation of the New York Minimum Wage Act and its implementing regulations. N.Y. Labor Law §§ 650 et seq.; 12 NYCRR § 142-2.4.

25. Due to Defendant's New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant his unpaid regular wages, unpaid overtime compensation, unpaid spread of hours compensation, and an additional twenty-five (25) percent as liquidated damages, reasonable attorney's fee, and costs of the action, pursuant to N.Y. Labor Law § 663(1).

COUNT IV MAINTENANCE OF EMPLOYMENT RECORDS

- 26. Plaintiff alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations in paragraphs 1 through 25 above.
- 27. Defendant intentionally failed to maintain adequate and accurate written records for the hours worked and wages earned by Plaintiff in order to facilitate the exploitation of Plaintiff's labor.
- 28. Defendant's knowing and intentional acts constitute a violation of N.Y. Labor Law § 195(4).
- 29. Defendant's knowing and intentional acts constitute a violation of 20 U.S.C. § 211(c) and 29 CFR § 516.2.
- 30. As a result of the foregoing, Plaintiff has been injured, and Defendant have profited thereby, in an amount to be proven at trial.

WHISEFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Court grant the following relief:

i. Declare Defendant' conduct complained of herein to be a violation of

Plaintiff's rights under the Fair Labor Standards Act and the New York

Labor Law;

ii. Award Plaintiff unpaid minimum wages and overtime compensation due

under the Fair Labor Standards Act and an additional equal amount as

liquidated damages because of Defendant's willful failure to pay both

minimum wage and overtime pay, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 216;

iii. Award Plaintiff unpaid regular wages, spread of hours compensation, and

overtime compensation under the New York Labor Law and an additional

25% as liquidated damages, pursuant to N.Y. Labor Law § 663(1);

iv. Award Plaintiff prejudgment interest;

v. Award Plaintiff the costs of this action together with reasonable attorney's

fees; and such other and further relief as this court deems necessary and

proper.

DATED this 18th day of August 2005.

THE LAW OFFICE OF JUSTIN A. ZELLER, P.C.

305 Broadway, 9th Floor

New York, NY

Tel: (212) 897-5859

Fax: (212) 822-1407

JUSTIN A. ZELLER

J.Z.7094

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ISRAEL COLLADO,

Plaintiff,

v.

DOMINION PROPERTY GROUP LLC, a New York corporation,

Defendant.

SUMMONS & COMPLAINT

THE LAW OFFICE OF JUSTIN A. ZELLER, P.C.

Attorney for Plaintiff 305 Broadway, 9th Floor New York, NY 10029 Phone: 212.897.5859

Fax: 212.822.1407

Email: jazeller@zellerlegal.com

To:

DOMINION PROPERTY GROUP LLC C/O RINZLER & RINZLER 200 MADISON AVENUE 24th FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10016